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#### Abstract

Treatment of $\left[\mathrm{Nb}\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right]$ with pentaborane(9) gives the novel arachnometallaboranes $\left[\mathrm{Nb}\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]$ (1) and $\left[\mathrm{Nb}\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right]$ (2). Compound 1 is the first reported base subrogated analogue of the neutral arachno-pentaborane(11) and has an apex to niobium hydrogen bridge. Compound 2, for which the X-ray crystal structure has been determined, is an analogue of the neutral arachno-tetraborane(10).


Arachno-pentaborane(11) is exceptional among simple boranes in having a hydrogen atom bridging asymmetrically across the open $\mathrm{B}(1) \mathrm{B}(2) \mathrm{B}(5)$ apex-base face $[1,2]$. In the three reported metallaborane analogues of pentaborane(11), $\left[\mathrm{Ir}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}=\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)[3,4]$ and $\left[\mathrm{RuH}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Me}_{6}\right) \mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]$ [5], the metal atoms occupy apex positions. Here we report the first characterised example of a basal metal subrogated analogue of arachno-pentaborane(11). Interestingly, it contains an apex to basal niobium hydrogen bridge.

Treatment of $\left[\mathrm{Nb}\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right]$ with pentaborane(9) at ca. $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ leads to the formation of $\left[\mathrm{Nb}\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]$ (1) (Fig. 1), $\left[\mathrm{Nb}\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right]$ (2) (Fig. 2), and the previously known $\left[\mathrm{Nb}\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{BH}_{4}\right]$ (3) which were separated by fractional crystallisation **. In solution, at ca. $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 1$ decomposes to form 2; at room temperature 1 reacts slowly with $\left[\mathrm{Nb}\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right]$ to form 2 and 3 , and with 3 to form 2 along with further unidentified products.
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Fig. 1. Proposed structure of $\left[\mathrm{Nb}\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]$ (1).

Compound 1 has been characterised by elemental analysis and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy *. The ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR shows the presence of four inequivalent boron positions (Fig. 3). Three of these resonances occur as doublets due to terminal hydrogen couplings. The low frequency of the doublet at $\delta-55.7$ is characteristic of the apex position in a pentaborane(11) species. The remaining resonance occurs as a triplet $\delta-1.4$ and is characteristic of the basal $\mathrm{BH}_{2}$ unit in this structure. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR shows four different bridging hydrogen atoms. Selective heteronuclear ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ decoupling experiments show three of these to occupy bridging positions between the basal niobium (2) and boron atom (3) and between the basal boron atoms ( $3 \& 4$ ) and ( $4 \& 5$ ) respectively. The chemical shift of the remaining bridge at $\delta-8.5$ shows that it is bonded to Nb while selective heteronuclear ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ decoupling experiments establish coupling to the apex boron atom (1). We have found no evidence of coupling between this $\mathrm{Nb}(2)-\mathrm{H}(1,2)-\mathrm{B}(1)$ proton and the basal boron $\mathrm{B}(5)$. The exact location of this apex to base bridging hydrogen remains to be determined. Two cyclopentadienyl resonances are evident in both the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra corresponding to exo- and endo-dispositions for these rings.

Compound 2 has been characterised by single crystal X-ray crystallography. The
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Fig. 2. Crystal structure of $\left[\mathrm{Nb}\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right]$ (2). Hydrogen atoms on the Cp rings have been excluded for clarity. Selected bond distances and angles are from one of the molecules in the asymmetric unit only. Selected bond distances $(\AA)\left(\mathrm{Cp}=\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right): \mathrm{Nb}(2)-\mathrm{Cp}$ (centroid) $2.047, \mathrm{Nb}(2)-\mathrm{B}(1) 2.555(6)$, $\mathrm{Nb}(2)-\mathrm{B}(3) 2.566(6), \mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(3) 1.727(8), \mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(4) 1.804(9), \mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{B}(4) 1.79(1)$. Selected bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ : Cp (centroid) $-\mathrm{Nb}(2)-\mathrm{Cp}$ (centroid) $137.95, \mathrm{~B}(1)-\mathrm{Nb}(2)-\mathrm{B}(3) 39.4(2), \mathrm{Nb}(2)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(4) 108.1(4)$, $\mathrm{Nb}(2)-\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{B}(4) 108.1(4), \mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{B}(3) 61.6(4)$, dihedral angle between planes $\mathrm{B}(1), \mathrm{Nb}(2), \mathrm{B}(3)$ and $\mathrm{B}(1), \mathrm{B}(4), \mathrm{B}(3) 124.93$.


Fig. 3. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{NMR}$ at 64.2 MHz of $\left[\mathrm{Nb}\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]$ (1).
crystal structure * showed two independent molecules of $\mathbf{2}$ in the asymmetric unit. There are no significant differences between the structural parameters of the two molecules. The butterfly geometry, shown for one of the molecules in the asymmetric unit (Fig. 2), is well established for arachno-2-metallaborane analogues of tetraborane (10). The solution ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra are consistent with the solid state structure, but interestingly, spin saturation transfer experiments show the presence of scrambling processes involving exchange of the terminal protons on $\mathrm{B}(1,3)$, one of the exo / endo protons on $\mathrm{B}(4)$ and the bridging protons $\mathrm{H}(1,4)$ and $H(3,4)$. Similar processes have been observed in compound 1 involving the terminal protons on $\mathrm{B}(5)$ and $\mathrm{B}(4)$ with the bridging proton $\mathrm{H}(4,5)$. Previously reported systems showing bridge/terminal exchange processes include the $\left[3,3,3,3-(\mathrm{CO})_{4^{-}}\right.$ arachno- $\left.\mathrm{WB}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12}\right]^{-}$anion [6] and $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ [7].

The $\left\{\mathrm{Nb}\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right\}$ fragment can be considered to subrogate for a $\left\{\mathrm{BH}_{2}\right\}$ fragment and to contribute three orbitals and three electrons towards the skeletal electron count. Thus, from electron counting procedures, 1 can be considered to be an analogue of the arachno- $\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathbf{H}_{11}$ cluster whereas 2 can be considered to be an analogue of the arachno- $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ cluster.

Previously reported metalla subrogated analogues of pentaborane(11) are apex subrogated. In the absence of any obvious steric effects to explain the subrogation position in compound 1 , we suggest that the planar spatial disposition of the frontier orbitals of the $\left\{\mathrm{Nb}\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right\}$ fragment favours base subrogation, whereas the pyramidal arrangement of the fronticr orbitals of the $\left\{\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{RuH}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Me}_{6}\right)\right\}$ fragments is more compatible with apex subrogation.
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[^0]:    * Dedicated to Prof. G. Wilke on the occasion of his 65 th birthday.
    ** In a typical experiment $2.2 \mathrm{mmol}\left[\mathrm{Nb}\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right]$ was treated with $20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9} /$ toluene solution ( 5 mmol ). Crystallisation from $40 / 60$ petroleum ether and toluene gave the following solids: $\left[\mathrm{Nb}\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right](1)(0.49 \mathrm{mmol}),\left[\mathrm{Nb}\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right](2)(0.66 \mathrm{mmol}),\left[\mathrm{Nb}\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{BH}_{4}\right](3)$ ( 0.35 mmol ).

[^1]:    * Analytical data for compound 1: Found: C, $43.69 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.01, \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{Nb}$ calcd.: $\mathrm{C}, 43.61: \mathrm{H}, 6.95 \%$. Selected NMR data (solvent benzene- $d_{6}$ for compound 1 and toluene- $d_{8}$ for compound 2): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at 200 MHz and ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR at 64.2 MHz ; chemical shifts $\delta$ in ppm and coupling constants in $(\mathrm{Hz})$.

    Compound 1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}, \delta 5.6(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}(4)), 4.3\left(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)\right), 4.1\left(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{h}}\right)\right), 3.7(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}(3)), 3.4$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\left(5_{\text {exa/endo }}\right)$ ), $2.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\left(5_{\text {exa/endo }}\right)\right.$ ) $-1.1(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}(1)),-1.4\left(\mathrm{~s}, 7 \mathrm{H}\left(\mu_{4,5}\right)\right),-2.5\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\left(\mu_{3.4}\right)\right),-8.5$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\left(\mu_{1,2}\right)\right),-10.2\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\left(\mu_{2.3}\right),{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B} \operatorname{NMR}\left(J\left({ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)\right), \delta 21.4(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{~B}(4),(154)), 6.9(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{~B}(3),(127))\right.$, $-1.4(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{~B}(5),(119)),-55.7(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{~B}(1),(178)) ;{ }^{1.3} \mathrm{C}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\delta 93.5\left(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{4}\right)\right), 92.4\left(\mathrm{~s} .5 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)\right)$. Compound 2: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR $\delta 4.5\left(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{p}^{4}\right)\right), 4.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)\right), 4.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\text {exo/endo }}(4 / 5)\right.$ ). 2.7 ( s , $\left.\mathrm{H}_{\text {exo/endo }}(4 / 5)\right), 0.3(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}(1,3)),-1.1\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}\left(\mu_{3,4} ; \mu_{1,4}\right)\right),-12.7\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}\left(\mu_{1,2} ; \mu_{2,3}\right)\right) .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR $\left(J\left({ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)\right) \delta 6.0(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{~B}(4),(105)),-42.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{~B}(1,3),(111):{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR} \delta 92.7\left(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)\right), 91.3\right.$ (s, $\left.5 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)\right)$.

